SINGLE BENCH

(4)

CF 2002 (2)

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

## WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 356 /2007

**PETITIONERS** :

1. **Harnarayan Singh Rajput**
   S/o Shri Komal Singh Rajput
   Age about 38 years, Assistant Teacher,
   Govt. Primary School, Borai,
   Block - Durg, Distt. - Durg (C.G.)

2. **Dilip Kumar Kaushik**
   S/o Shri Horilal Kaushik
   Age about 38 years, Assistant Teacher,
   Govt. Primary School, Daihanpara (Karra)
   P.O. - Darrighat, Block - Masturi
   Distt. - Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3241/07
Presented on 8/11/07
date 10/11/07

VERSUS

**RESPONDENTS** :

1. **State Government of Chhattisgarh**
   Through :- **Secretary,**
   Education Department,
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Director of Public Instruction**
   Raipur (C.G.)

3. **District Education Officer**
   Durg (C.G.)

4. **District Education Officer**
   Bilaspur (C.G.)

5. **Block Education Officer**
   Durg (C.G.)

6. **Block Education Officer**
   Masturi (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
10/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushik
BILASPUR TAHSIL AREA

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(29)

**W. P. (S) No. 356 of 2007**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Petitioners | : | Harnarayan Singh Rajput and another |
| | Versus | |
| Respondents | : | State Government of Chhattisgarh and others |

**SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

---

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

---

**ORDER**

(Passed on 23rd Day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case is similar to that of in W. P.(S) 5815 of 2006 (Venkat Raman Pratap Singh & others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 27th October, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 27th October, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (S) 5815 of 2006. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash